



Title of report: Annual Governance Statement 2025/26

Meeting: Audit and Governance Committee

Meeting date: Tuesday 25 March 2025

Report by: Director of Finance, Chief Accountant

Classification

Open

Decision type

This is not an executive decision

Wards affected

Purpose

To seek the view of the committee as to whether the Annual Governance Statement (AGS) for 2025/26 properly reflects the internal control environment the council is operating in and the appropriateness of actions identified to improve governance arrangements.

Recommendation(s)

That:

- a) **The Audit and Governance Committee determines whether the Annual Governance Statement (AGS) for 2025/26 at Appendix A properly reflects the internal control environment the Council is operating in and the appropriateness of actions identified to improve governance arrangements.**

Alternative options

1. None; the publication of an Annual Governance Statement is a requirement of the Accounts and Audit (England) Regulations 2015.

Key considerations

2. The council is required, as part of an ongoing review of the effectiveness of its governance arrangements, to produce an AGS which forms part of the Annual Statement of Accounts. The AGS is primarily retrospective. It reports on the governance framework and internal controls in place for the financial year 2025/26 and considers any significant governance issues up to the

date of publication of the audited Statement of Accounts. The AGS notes actions taken or proposed to address the governance issues identified.

3. The AGS is informed by Directors and Statutory Officers' declarations, reviews of compliance with laws and regulations, corporate strategies, policies, plans and arrangements and internal audit reports and opinions. It will be updated to include any governance issues identified between the balance sheet date and publication of the statutory accounts.
4. The AGS is a review of activities to ensure that the council's internal control and governance framework is operating effectively. The statement explains how the council has discharged its governance responsibilities during 2025/26 and the key governance mechanisms in place to manage risks of failure in delivering outcomes and decision making.
5. The preparation and publication of the AGS has been undertaken with reference to the seven core principles of good governance as identified in the Chartered Institute of Public Finance (CIPFA) Delivering Good Governance in Local Government Framework 2016 and 2025 Addendum.
6. This CIPFA guidance advises that statements should be meaningful but brief, high level, strategic and written in an open and readable style, and should include:
 - a. an acknowledgement of responsibility for ensuring that there is a sound system of governance (incorporating the system of internal control) and reference to the authority's code of governance;
 - b. reference to and assessment of the effectiveness of key elements of the governance framework (set out in the code of corporate governance) and the role of those responsible for the development and maintenance of the governance environment, such as the council, the executive, the audit committee, internal audit and others as appropriate;
 - c. an opinion on the level of assurance that the governance arrangements can provide and that the arrangements continue to be regarded as fit for purpose in accordance with the governance framework;
 - d. an agreed action plan showing actions taken, or proposed, to deal with significant governance issues;
 - e. reference to how issues raised in the previous year's annual governance statement have been resolved; and
 - f. a commitment to monitoring implementation as part of the next annual review.
7. There are a number of components that contribute to the draft AGS including Statutory officer comments, Director Assurance Statements, the work of Internal Audit and annual opinion provided by the Head of Internal Audit, Member assurance through annual reports of Committee chairs and the findings of external assessments from external audit, inspectorates, regulators and the Local Government Association Corporate Peer Challenge.
8. The actions identified to deliver continuous improvement in governance arrangements following the review will form part of an action plan and progress will be reported to this committee.

Community impact

9. Corporate governance is the term used to describe the systems, processes, culture and values the council has established to ensure we provide the right services, to the right people in a

timely, open, and accountable way. Good corporate governance encourages better informed longer-term decision-making using resources efficiently and being open to scrutiny with a view to improving performance and managing risk.

10. The annual review ensures that our arrangements are effective in supporting achievement of the council's vision and county plan priorities.

Environmental Impact

11. Herefordshire Council provides and purchases a wide range of services for the people of Herefordshire. Together with partner organisations in the private, public and voluntary sectors we share a strong commitment to improving our environmental sustainability, achieving carbon neutrality and to protect and enhance Herefordshire's outstanding natural environment.
12. The annual review ensures that our arrangements are effective in supporting achievement of the council's vision and county plan priorities.

Equality duty

13. The Public Sector Equality Duty requires the Council to consider how it can positively contribute to the advancement of equality and good relations and demonstrate that it is paying 'due regard' in our decision making in the design of policies and in the delivery of services.
14. The mandatory equality impact screening checklist has been completed for this activity, and it has been found to have no impact for equality.

Resource implications

15. Whilst the recommendations themselves do not have a direct resource implication, some of the actions may result in additional expenditure. Where this is the case separate governance will take place. The AGS does take resource to produce and deliver action plans, but these are from existing staffing. If the committee proposes further actions, the resource implications of implementing those actions will need to be considered.

Legal implications

16. The Accounts and Audit (England) Regulations 2015 include a requirement for all councils to produce an AGS and set out the timescales by which they must be published. Approval of the statement ensures that the council will comply with these requirements.

Risk management

17. The statement itself identifies any high level or strategic governance risks, and the action plan provides mitigation to those risks.

Risk / opportunity	Mitigation
Not all relevant information is captured	There are several routes to collecting information – including additional feedback to finalise the AGS.

Not all gaps in assurance are covered	Where there are gaps in information internal audit will be considered; inspections and peer reviews.
There are insufficient resources to implement required improvements	Though no direct resource implications, additional activity may lead to a resource impact and this will be monitored and managed via routine budget monitoring arrangements.

Consultees

18. The consultees have largely been internal, with internal and external audit, and members of the Audit and Governance Committee commenting on the draft. Independent persons will be asked for their view on the draft AGS, along with internal and external audit. This has influenced the final version presented to the Audit and Governance Committee.

Appendices

Appendix A Annual Governance Statement 2025/26

Background papers

None identified.